

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/940,208	08/27/2001	Richard M. Winchester	5639	
7	590 10/30/2003		EXAMINER	
EDWARD L. WHITE, P.C.			STRIMBU, GREGORY J	
SUITE 440 50 PENN PLA	CE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
OKLAHOMA CITY, OK 73118			3634	

DATE MAILED: 10/30/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

orl .	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	09/940,208	R. WINCHESTER					
navicery nearin	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Gregory J. Strimbu	3634					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
THE REPLY FILED 03 October 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper reply n places the applica	y to a ation in				
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date		to the Garden substitute of	ishawania latau da				
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offic timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THe date on which the petition under 37 CFI of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mails	g date of the final rejecting FINAL REJECTION. R 1.136(a) and the approperture of the fee. The appropriginally set in the final	on. See MPEP opriate extension ropriate extension Office action; or				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF							
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:						
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);					
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	elow);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or sir	mplifying the				
(d) they present additional claims without canceli NOTE:	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claim	S.				
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed	amendment				
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:		dered but does NO	T place the				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were	e newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we	• • •		and an				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected:							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
8. $\hfill \square$ The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) approved or b) disapp	roved by the Exami	iner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
10. ☑ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>		GREGORY J. : PRIMARY EX					
	Innernia V	Main					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 04-01)

Advisory Action

Part of Paper No. 11





Continuation of 10. Other: The proposed response filed October 3, 2003 cannot be considered because the amendments contained therein do not comply with revised 37 CFR 1.121..